

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9

10 THERASENSE, INC.,)
11 Plaintiff(s),) No. C04-2123 MJJ (BZ)
12 v.)
13 BECTON, DICKSON AND CO.,)
14 Defendant(s).)
15 THERASENSE, INC.)
16 Plaintiff(s),) No. C04-3732 MJJ (BZ)
17 v.)
18 NOVA BIOMEDICAL CORPORATION,)
19 Defendant(s).)
20 BECTON DICKINSON & CO.,)
21 Plaintiff(s),) No. C04-3327 MJJ (BZ)
22 v.)
23 THERASENSE, INC.,) **SIXTH DISCOVERY ORDER**
24 Defendant(s).)
25

26 Before the court is plaintiffs' request for court
27 intervention (Document #192) to resolve a dispute with Nova
28 over the scope of the protective order. Nova has responded by

1 letter dated April 12, 2006. I see no need for further
2 briefing or argument. **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that either the
3 protective order is amended by the inclusion of Paragraph 25
4 in its entirety, including the language at the top of Page 2
5 of Document #192, or the parties act in accordance with the
6 existing protective order. It does not appear unreasonable
7 for plaintiffs to obtain Nova's consent before Nova's Highly
8 Confidential information is produced in litigation other than
9 that to which Nova is a party.

10 Dated: April 19, 2006



11 _____
12 Bernard Zimmerman
13 United States Magistrate Judge

14 G:\BZALL\ -REFS\THERASENSE\DISCOVERY6.ORDER.wpd

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28